

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Meet our housing need</li> <li>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</li> <li>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</li> <li>4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets</li> <li>5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity</li> <li>6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information</li> <li>7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral</li> <li>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</li> <li>9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure</li> <li>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</li> </ol>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	Our Spatial Strategy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Over-building, lack of Section 106 funds from developers (never requested by Planning, which gets fobbed off all the time by that old chestnut (it wouldn't be a viable scheme) but this money is needed to expanded the number of amenities such as GP surgeries, dentists etc. Almost none of this exists inb the city centre and services are horribly overburdened as a resilt, And it's getting worse as the council pernits more and more housing, putting increasing pressure on everything. It is not sustainable and tower blocks going up everywhere lacks aesthetics and any sense of vision, ie a lack of a tall buildings policy and tall building zones. Those of us who've been living in the centre for years feel our communities are being worsened and worsened as a result.
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 6 Clean Air
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Stop taking green belt away to build on. It's inconsistent with the council's (MCC) and the GMCA policy to be greener and aim for net carbon. Removing our "lungs" makes the problem worse, not better.
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Over-building, lack of Section 106 funds from developers (never requested by Planning, which gets fobbed off all the time by that old chestnut "it wouldn't be a viable scheme") but this money is needed to expand the number of amenities such as GP surgeries, dentists etc. Almost none of this exists in the city centre and services are horribly overburdened as a result, And it's getting worse as the council permits more and more housing, putting increasing pressure on everything. It is not sustainable and tower blocks going up everywhere lacks aesthetics and any sense of vision, ie a lack of a tall buildings policy and tall building zones. Those of us who've been living in the centre for years feel our communities are being worsened and worsened as a result.
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Over-building, lack of Section 106 funds from developers (never requested by Planning, which gets fobbed off all the time by that old chestnut "it wouldn't be a viable scheme") but this money is needed to expand the number of amenities such as GP surgeries, dentists etc. Almost none of this exists in Manchester city centre and services are horribly overburdened as a result, And it's getting worse as the council permits more and more housing, putting increasing pressure on everything. It is not sustainable and tower blocks going up everywhere lacks aesthetics and any sense of vision, ie a lack of a tall buildings policy and tall building zones. Those of us who've been living in the centre for years feel our communities are being worsened and worsened as a result.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Ongoing lack of sufficient parks and green spaces in Manchester city centre and this fails to address that. Residents have to contend with hideously high levels of vehicle pollution (in some streets daily exceeding the legal permitted limit) and the pandemic has put much more emphasis on the need for access to green space and nature. You have to go out to the inner city suburbs - by bus or car - to access decent-sized parks for nature and outdoor leisure.
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Stop taking green belt away to build on. It's inconsistent with the council's (MCC) and the GMCA policy to be greener and aim for net carbon. Removing our "lungs" makes the problem worse, not better.
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,</b>	Manchester city centre needs vast improvements in order to meet the walking/cycling policy. Potholed roads, blocked drains that result in deep pools of water in the gutters when it rains heavily, hundreds of broken and uneven paving slabs. These need to be fixed urgently after years of neglect.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-P2 Heritage
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I live in a conservation area in the city centre that is blighted by developments that should never have been approved by Manchester City Council - new tower blocks that dwarf and overshadow listed buildings (and those occupied by residents regularly losing access to natural light). If the authorities care about preserving heritage then 1) stop blighting conservation areas and 2) stop giving planning permission to developers to demolish old buildings - some listed, but those that aren't still frequently aesthetically pleasing and part of our valued history - and replacing them with bland, grey, tall structures of no architectural merit.
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-P3 Cultural Facilities
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Bolotin
<b>Given Name</b>	Louise
<b>Person ID</b>	1285975
<b>Title</b>	JP-C5 Walking and Cycling Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Manchester city centre needs vast improvements in order to meet the walking/cycling policy. Potholed roads, blocked drains that result in deep pools of water in the gutters when it rains heavily, hundreds of broken and uneven paving slabs. These need to be fixed urgently after years of neglect.